## DOCKET FILE OOPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

PECEIVED

JUN 1 4 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re:

Redevelopment of Spectrum to Encourage Innovation in the Use of New Telecommunications Technologies ET Docket No. 92-9

RM-7981 RM-8004

## Comments of Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc.

Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc. ("HCG") hereby submits these Comments in response to the Supplemental Comments of Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. ("Alcatel") filed on May 19, 1993 in this proceeding.

In its Supplemental Comments, Alcatel amended its previous channelization proposal for the 4 GHz band in attempt to synthesize its plan of January 26, 1993 with the comments of the Telecommunications Industry Association, Harris Corporation-Farinon Division, Digital Microwave Corporation, and Telesciences, Inc. Among other things, Alcatel proposes to delete all narrowband channels from its 4 GHz band proposal and to allow that band to be used on a terrestrial basis only for 10 MHz and 20 MHz channels.

HCG has expressed concern throughout this proceeding about the disruption to the C band satellite industry that would result from the adoption of the Commission's proposal to rechannelize portions of the 4 GHz band and allow displaced 2 GHz

No. of Copies rec'd List A B C D E microwave users to use that band. In particular, HCG previously has explained that the 4 GHz band channelization plan originally suggested by Alcatel, and ultimately proposed by the Commission, would create increased terrestrial interference into satellite communications.

In response, on January 26, 1993, Alcatel proposed an alternative channelization plan that would maintain the frequency "offset" that currently exists between terrestrial and satellite uses of the 4 GHz band, but still would allow the 4 GHz band to be used for narrowband channels of 5 MHz and less. Now, Alcatel proposes to further refine its proposal by excluding narrowband terrestrial channels from the 4 GHz band.

Assuming the Commission determines that it is necessary to open the 4 GHz band to displaced microwave users, HCG does not object to Alcatel's modifications to its revised channelization plan. HCG believes that this new proposal is preferable to the plan the Commission has proposed because is more consistent with the use of this band for satellite communications.

As HCG has previously explained, HCG still remains concerned that use of the 4 GHz band is not the best solution for relocating displaced microwave users. Nothing in Alcatel's new proposal changes this concern. The 4 GHz band already is congested and use of that band by additional users (regardless of the channelization method used) will present coordination challenges for all users of the band. HCG supports Alcatel's proposals to find spectrum in bands other than 4 GHz and urges

the Commission to continue to review alternatives, such as the 3.6-3.7 GHz band.

> Respectfully submitted, HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS GALAXY, INC.

LATTAM & WATKINS
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 1300

Washington, (202) 637-2200 20004

June 14, 1993

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John P. Janka, do hereby certify that the attached Comments of Hughes Communications Galaxy, Inc. was mailed, postage prepaid, this 14th day of June, 1993, to the following:

Robert J. Miller Gardere & Wynne, L.L.P. A Registered Limited Liability Partnership 1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000 Dallas, Texas 75201

Alexander P. Humphrey GE American Communications, Inc. 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Sheila Mellody GTE Spacenet Corporation 1700 Old Meadow Road McLean, Virginia 22102

Benjamin J. Griffin Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1200 18th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Theodore A. Miles National Public Radio, Inc. 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Andrew R. Paul SBCA 225 Boingkons Land